



6th International Conference on Advances in Mechanical Engineering, 2026

Editorial Policies

The editors oversee all stages of the publication process to maintain academic rigor and ethical standards. Editors ensure that all published content meets the standards of the conference for clarity, accuracy, and scholarly integrity. The details of all editorial policies are provided below.

1. Scope and Focus

1.1 Content Relevance: Submissions must align with the specified themes and objectives of the conference, which may include specific research areas, emerging technologies, innovative methodologies, and interdisciplinary studies.

1.2 Innovative Contributions: Manuscripts should offer new insights, present original research findings, introduce novel methodologies, or provide comprehensive reviews that significantly advance the field.

2. Submission Guidelines

2.1 Originality: All submissions must be the original work of the authors and not under consideration for publication elsewhere. Authors must declare the originality of their work and the absence of simultaneous submissions in a cover letter.

2.2 Formatting: Manuscripts must adhere to the conference's formatting guidelines, including:

2.2.1 Template Use: Submissions must be prepared using the conference-provided templates.

2.2.2 Length: The maximum length of the manuscript is 9 pages, including references and appendices. Authors encountering challenges in meeting the

page limit are encouraged to contact the editorial office before submission to discuss possible accommodations or alternative solutions.

2.2.3 Structure: Include required sections such as title, abstract, introduction, methodology, results, discussion, conclusion, and references.

2.2.4 Citation Style: Follow the specified citation and reference style given in the template.

2.3 Language: Manuscripts must be written in English. Authors are responsible for the quality of the language, and non-native speakers are encouraged to seek professional proofreading services.

3. Peer Review Process

3.1 Double-Blind Review: The review process will be double-blind to ensure impartiality.

3.2 Reviewer Selection: Reviewers will be selected based on their expertise in the subject matter of the submission. Each submission will be reviewed by one independent reviewer, with an optional second reviewer if required.

3.3 Review Criteria: Reviewers will evaluate submissions based on:

3.3.1 Originality: Novelty and innovation of the research.

3.3.2 Significance: Impact and relevance of the findings to the field.

3.3.3 Research Methodology: Soundness and appropriateness of the research methods.

3.3.4 Clarity: Clear presentation and coherence of the writing.

3.3.5 Relevance: Alignment with the conference themes and objectives.

3.3.6 References: Proper citation and acknowledgment of prior work.

3.4 Confidentiality: All review materials and reviewer identities are confidential. Reviewers must not disclose information about the submissions or use it for personal advantage.

4. Ethical Considerations

4.1 Plagiarism: Any manuscript found to contain plagiarized content (exceeding 15% overall and 2% with a single source) will be rejected and may result in a ban on future submissions by the authors.

4.2 Authorship: All listed authors must have made significant contributions to the research. Contributions should be clearly stated in the submission, and the corresponding author will approve the final manuscript on behalf of the co-authors.

4.3 Conflict of Interest: Authors must disclose any potential conflicts of interest that could influence the research or its interpretation. Reviewers must also disclose conflicts of interest and recuse themselves from reviewing affected submissions.

5. Publication Decisions

5.1 Acceptance Criteria: Final acceptance will be based on the quality of the research, the thoroughness of the review process, and the reviewers' recommendations. The editorial board will make the final decision.

5.2 Revisions: Authors may be asked to revise their submissions based on reviewers' feedback. Revisions should address all reviewer comments and be resubmitted within 10 days.

5.3 Rejection: Manuscripts may be rejected if they fail to meet the conference standards. Detailed feedback will be provided to help authors improve their work for future submissions.

6. Post-Acceptance

6.1 Copyright: Authors must agree to the conference's copyright policy, which typically allows authors to retain copyright while granting the conference the right to publish and distribute the work.

6.2 Final Submission: Accepted manuscripts must be formatted according to the conference's final submission guidelines, including any specific formatting for publication. Final versions must be submitted by the deadline.

6.3 Presentation: At least one author of each accepted manuscript must register for the conference and present their work. Failure to present may result in the manuscript being removed from the proceedings. Local participants are required to present their work in person, whereas international speakers have the option to present virtually.

7. Transparency and Accountability

7.1 Open Access: Conference proceedings will be made available through open access or specified channels to ensure broad dissemination of the research.

7.2 Publication Ethics: The conference adheres to the COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) guidelines for ethical publishing, ensuring transparency, fairness, and integrity in the editorial process. Any ethical concerns or violations will be addressed promptly by the editorial members.

8. Diversity and Inclusion

8.1 Equal Opportunity: The conference promotes diversity and inclusion, encouraging submissions from researchers of all backgrounds. Efforts will be made to ensure a diverse representation of authors, reviewers, and speakers.

8.2 Anti-Harassment: The conference has a zero-tolerance policy towards harassment of any kind. The complaints will be handled confidentially and appropriately, with clear consequences for violations.